10 11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RYANT TRIMALE PRATT,

Plaintiff,

v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION & REHABILITATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 21-cv-09943-JSW

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Plaintiff, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 seeking immediate release from custody on parole. He is serving a sentence of ninety years to life in state prison.

"Federal law opens two main avenues to relief on complaints related to imprisonment: a petition for habeas corpus, 28 U.S.C. § 2254, and a complaint under the Civil Rights Act of 1871, Rev. Stat. § 1979, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Challenges to the lawfulness of confinement or to particulars affecting its duration are the province of habeas corpus." Hill v. McDonough, 547 U.S. 573, 579 (2006) (quoting Muhammad v. Close, 540 U.S. 749, 750 (2004)). "An inmate's challenge to the circumstances of his confinement, however, may be brought under § 1983." Id. Habeas is the "exclusive remedy" for the prisoner, such as Plaintiff, who seeks "immediate or speedier release" from confinement. Skinner v. Switzer, 562 U.S. 521, 533-34 (2011) (quoting Wilkinson v. Dotson, 544 U.S. 74, 82 (2005)). A civil rights complaint seeking habeas relief should be dismissed without prejudice to bringing it as a petition for writ of habeas corpus. See Trimble v. City of Santa Rosa, 49 F.3d 583, 586 (9th Cir. 1995). Plaintiff's civil rights complaint must be dismissed without prejudice to bringing it as a petition for writ of habeas corpus.

Plaintiff also seeks money damages for being unlawfully incarcerated. Such damages may

Case 4:21-cv-09943-JSW Document 8 Filed 01/18/22 Page 2 of 2

	1
	2
Northern District of California	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26

27

28

United States District Court

only be obtained if Plaintiff succeeds in proving in his habeas action that he was previously
entitled to release on parole. <i>Cf. Butterfield v. Bail</i> , 120 F.3d 1023, 1024 (9th Cir. 1997) (<i>Heck v.</i>
Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477, 486-487 (1994), bars claim for damages based on allegedly unlawful
denial of parole).

For the foregoing reasons, the case is DISMISSED without prejudice to Plaintiff filing his claims in a petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

The Clerk shall enter judgment and close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 18, 2022

YEFFREN S. WHITE
United States District Judge